## Agricultural Drift Cases<sup>1</sup> Reported by California Physicians as Associated With<sup>2</sup> Pesticide Exposure Summarized by the Activity of the Exposed Person and by the Type of Application Equipment Used 2004

|   | Type of Activity <sup>4</sup> |                    |                 |       |       |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------|-------|
| Type of Application Equipment Used <sup>3</sup> | Routine<br>Indoor             | Routine<br>Outdoor | Field<br>Worker | Other | TOTAL |
| Fixed Wing Aircraft                             | 0                             | 2                  | 0               | 3     | 5     |
| Helicopter                                      | 0                             | 6                  | 158             | 0     | 164   |
| Airblast Sprayers                               | 3                             | 6                  | 0               | 5     | 14    |
| Ground, Boom Below/Behind                       | 0                             | 0                  | 11              | 0     | 11    |
| Ground Boom, Other or Unspecified               | 0                             | 0                  | 1               | 1     | 2     |
| Ground, Other or Unspecified                    | 0                             | 0                  | 6               | 13    | 19    |
| Shank Injection with Tarps                      | 0                             | 0                  | 1               | 0     | 1     |
| Pressurized Hose-Line Sprayers                  | 0                             | 0                  | 1               | 1     | 2     |
| Unpressurized Hand-Held Spray Equipment         | 0                             | 0                  | 1               | 1     | 2     |
| Fumigation in a Chamber                         | 1                             | 0                  | 0               | 0     | 1     |
| Fumigation with Tarp containment                | 0                             | 0                  | 0               | 8     | 8     |
| Automatic Equipment, Chlorinators               | 0                             | 0                  | 1               | 0     | 1     |
| Automatic Equipment, Other or Unspecified       | 0                             | 0                  | 0               | 1     | 1     |
| Other   | 0                             | 0                  | 0               | 1     | 1     |
| Unknown   | 0                             | 0                  | 0               | 1     | 1     |
| TOTAL   | 4                             | 14                 | 180             | 35    | 233   |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Source: California Department of Pesticide Regulation, Pesticide Illness Surveillance Program

Definite : High degree of correlation between pattern of exposure and resulting symptomatology. Requires both

medical evidence (such as measured cholinesterase inhibition, positive allergy tests, characteristic signs observed by medical professional) and physical evidence of exposure (environmental and/or

biological samples, exposure history) to support the conclusions.

Probable : Relatively high degree of correlation exists between the pattern of exposure and the resulting

symptomatology. Either medical or physical evidence is inconclusive or unavailable.

Possible : Some degree of correlation evident. Medical and physical evidence are inconclusive or unavailable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Associated With: Includes cases determined to be definitely, probably or possibly related to pesticide exposure

<sup>3</sup> **Type of Equipment Used:** Defines the type of application equipment regardless of who performed the application.

Fixed Wing

: Fixed wing aircraft.

Aircraft Helicopter

: Helicopter.

Airblast Sprayers

: Ground application equipment with a pump that delivers spray into an air stream created by a

large fan at the back of the spray equipment.

Ground Boom Below/Behind : Ground application equipment with a spray boom located below or behind the equipment

operator with the spray nozzles pointed downward.

Ground Boom, Other Or Unspecified : Ground application equipment with a spray boom. The following are excluded: 1) Ground

Boom Below/Behind, 2) Over-The-Vine Boom, and 3) Electrostatic Sprayer.

Ground, Other Or Unspecified

: Ground application equipment, unknown or unspecified. This includes two or more types of

ground application equipment

Shank Injection With Tarps

: Ground application equipment that uses a shank or other piece of equipment to directly apply

a pesticide into the soil. A tarp is placed over the soil to restrict the pesticide to the

application site.

Pressurized Hose-Line Sprayers : Hand-held spray equipment attached by a long hose to a power-pressurized tank. This

excludes hose-end sprayers, which are classified under hand, other or unspecified.

Unpressurized Hand-Held Spray Equipment : Hand-held spray bottles (usually plastic) with built-in finger triggers.

Chamber : An enclosed, sealed chamber designed specifically for fumigating or sterilizing the contents

of the chamber.

Tarp : Tarp placed over a commodity or structure and designed to restrict a fumigant to the

application site.

Automatic Equipment, Chlorinators : Chlorination units that automatically inject chlorine into water for disinfection purposes.

This includes chlorinators for swimming pools, packing houses and food processing plants.

This includes chlorinators for swimming pools, packing houses and food processing plants.

Automatic Equipment, Other Or Unspecified

: Equipment that automatically injects the pesticide to the target area. This includes equipment attached to milking machinery, dishwashers, etc. This excludes equipment already described

above.

Other : Any application methodology not described above. This includes two or more types of

application equipment not elsewhere specified.

Unknown : The type of application equipment is not known.

<sup>4</sup>Type of Activity: Activity of the individual at the time of exposure.

Field Worker : Works in an agricultural field performing tasks such as advising, scouting, harvesting, thinning,

irrigating, driving tractor (except as part of an application), field packing, conducting cultural work in a greenhouse, etc. Researchers performing similar tasks in an agricultural field are

also included.

Routine Indoor : Conducts activities in an indoor environment with minimal expectation for exposure to

pesticides. This includes people in offices and businesses, residential structures, etc. who are

not handling pesticides.

Routine Outdoor : Conducts activities in an outdoor environment with minimal expectation for exposure to

pesticides. This excludes field workers in agricultural fields. This includes gardeners who are

not handling pesticides.

Other : Activity is not adequately described by any other activity category. This includes but is not

limited to: 1) being inside a vehicle; 2) dog groomers not handling pesticides; 3) individuals handling pesticide treated wood; 4) two or more activities with potential for pesticide

exposure.

## Whom to Contact:

California Department of Pesticide Regulation

Worker Health and Safety Branch

Phone: (916) 445-4222.

Physical address: 1001 I St., Sacramento CA 95814-2828. Mailing address: P.O. Box 4015, Sacramento, CA 95812-4015

Fax: (916) 445-4280 www.cdpr.ca.gov

## **About the Pesticide Illness Surveillance Program Data**

Pesticide-related illnesses have been tracked within the state of California for more than 50 years. The California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Pesticide Regulation (DPR) maintains a surveillance program which records human health effects of pesticide exposure. The Pesticide Illness Surveillance Program (PISP) documents information on adverse effects from pesticide products, whether elicited by the active ingredients, inert ingredients, inpurities, or breakdown products. This program maintains a database, which is utilized for evaluating the circumstances of pesticide exposures resulting in illness. This database is consulted regularly by staff who evaluate(s) the effectiveness of the DPR pesticide safety programs and recommend changes when appropriate.